UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/627,117	07/24/2003	Peter Dam Neilsen	857.0019.U1(US)	3924
	7590 10/29/200 N & SMITH, PC	EXAMINER		
4 RESEARCH	DRIVE, Suite 202		TIMBLIN, ROBERT M	
SHELTON, CT 06484-6212			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2167	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application Number	Application/Control No. Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination 10/627,117 NEILSEN ET AL.		under
	Robert Timblin	Art Unit 2167	
Document Code - AP.PRE.	DEC	-	-

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Re	view filed <u>Oct. 6, 2008</u> .
 Improper Request – The Request is improper a reason(s): 	and a conference will not be held for the following
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concu ☐ The request does not include reasons why a re ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the P ☐ Other: 	eview is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run f the mail date of the last Office communication, if no No	
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interheld. The application remains under appeal because the is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this de running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	here is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant th 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal ecision, or the balance of the two-month time period ever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the
☐ The panel has determined the status of the claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	laim(s) is as follows:
3. Allowable application – A conference has beer Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits re applicant at this time.	
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been action will be mailed. No further action is required by a	
All participants:	
(1) Robert Timblin.	(3) <u>/Eddie C. Lee/</u> .
(2) <u>John Cottingham</u> .	(4)